ACUTE MYOCARDITIS IN THE MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING ERA: PATIENT’S CHARACTERISTICS AND MID-TERM FOLLOW-UP
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Abstract
Background: Myocarditis is an inflammation of myocardial tissue that presents with a wide range of symptoms. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) has become the first choice of non-invasive assessment of myocardial inflammation in suspected pts. Aims: The aim of this study was to report
clinical, paraclinical and follow up data observed in pts with acute myocarditis confirmed by CMR in a single center. **Methods:** We retrospectively studied 27 pts admitted for acute myocarditis between November 2010 and November 2012. All pts had ECG, echocardiography and CMR. Ultrasensitive cardiac troponin and CRP were measured. Coronary angiogram was performed in case of myocardial infarction-like syndrome or in the presence of CV risk factors. We reviewed the files of the hospital out-patient clinic and contacted the pts or their cardiologists by phone for those followed outside the hospital. **Results:** There were 23 males (85.2%) and 4 females, aged 36 ± 19 yrs. ST elevation was found in 17 pts (62.5%). All had elevated cardiac troponin. Echocardiography showed abnormalities of wall motion in 16 pts (59.2%). Mean LVEF on CMR was 53.96 ± 9.9% and late gadolinium enhancement was in lateral in 80%, in inferior in 10% and anterior or apical wall in 10%. Coronary angiogram was normal, performed in 14 pts (51.8%). Complications included VT in 4 pts (14.8 %), AF in 2, and cardiac tamponade in 1. Follow-up was obtained for 23 pts (85%). One died for pulmonary embolism on lung cancer. All others had a favorable evolution. **Conclusion:** Our study showed that myocarditis affects in majority young and male patients. CMR appears as the main modality of diagnosis. Coronary angiogram is mandatory in case of CV risk factors and/or myocardial infarction-like presentation. Evolution is often favorable. Optimal medical therapy is still to be defined. Pts can be considered as cured in the absence of chest pain and in case of normalization of echocardiography and/or CMR at follow-up.
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**BACKGROUND**

Myocarditis is a cardiac disease associated with inflammation and injury of the myocardium.\(^1\) Acute myocarditis is frequently diagnosed as non ischemic dilated cardiomyopathy with symptoms that have been present for a few weeks to several months. However manifestations range from subclinical disease to sudden death, with new-onset atrial or ventricular arrhythmias, complete heart block or an acute myocardial infarction-like syndrome. Hence, the diagnosis of myocarditis based on the clinical presentation is usually not possible. Myocarditis has been reported in up to 12% of young adults presenting with sudden death.\(^2\)\(^,\)\(^3\)\(^,\)\(^4\)\(^,\)\(^5\) The incidence of non-fatal myocarditis is likely higher than actually diagnosed, mostly due to the challenges of establishing the diagnosis in standard clinical settings. Cardiac symptoms are variable and may include precordial chest pain, fatigue, palpitations, syncope and decreased exercise tolerance. Although a viral prodrome with fever, myalgia, and respiratory or gastrointestinal symptoms is classically associated with myocarditis, reported symptoms are highly variable.\(^6\)

Infectious disease accounts for the majority of cases due to either a direct viral infection or post-viral immune-mediated reaction. However, myocardial inflammation may also be triggered by reversible and/or irreversible toxic, ischemic or mechanical injury, drug-related inflammation, transplant rejection or other immune reactions.

Following the initial injury, cytokines and B cells are activated by local and systemic immune responses with subsequent edema, autoantibody production, and ad-
ditional myocyte injury. Although the molecular and cellular pathophysiology may differ between different etiologies, cellular infiltration, oedema, necrosis and fibrotic scars are common features.

With the limited specificity of the history and the physical examination, patients may appear almost normal, may have non-specific symptoms, but may also present with features of acute myocardial infarction, or heart failure with hemodynamic compromise. Physical exams are often normal. ST segment elevation or T wave inversion are the most sensitive ECG criterion.

Depending on the severity and time of testing during the course of disease, serum biomarkers of myocardial injury may be elevated. Troponin I has high sensitivity (89%) but limited specificity (34%) in the diagnosis of myocarditis. Clinical and experimental data suggest that increase levels of cardiac troponin I are more common than increased levels of creatine kinase MB in acute myocarditis.

In adults, the recommended indications for endomyocardial biopsy (EMB) are confined to patients with heart failure and therefore EMB is not recommended in many patients with myocarditis.

Ultrasound studies of the heart in myocarditis typically are performed to visualize associated functional abnormalities, wall thickness and pericardial effusion.

Different from other diagnostic modalities, targets for CMR not only include functional and morphological abnormalities but also tissue pathology as diagnostic features of myocardial inflammation. Myocardial late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) specifically reflects irreversible myocardial injury, i.e. necrosis and fibrosis.

The prognosis of patients with myocarditis depends on clinical presentation and different clinical parameters.

METHODS

We retrospectively reviewed the files and charts of 27 patients diagnosed as acute myocarditis confirmed by CMR between November 2010 and November 2012 in the cardiology department, Ambroise Paré university hospital, Paris, France.

Diagnosis of acute myocarditis was based on the presence of LGE in subepicardium in all patients except one by the sequence of diffusion on CMR.

Data collected during the hospitalization included the clinical status, biological serum tests (CRP, ultrasensitive cardiac troponin), ECG, echocardiography, CMR and coronary angiogram if needed.

We compared the finding results of the different modalities used during the hospitalization i.e. ECG, echocardiography and CMR to search for matching or correlations.
Patients follow up consisted in data collection for the complications, the evolution of the clinical symptoms, the results of investigations as follow-up echocardiography and CMR and the duration of the treatment. We reviewed the files of the out-patient clinic for the patients followed in the hospital and contacted the patients or their cardiologists by phone for those followed outside the hospital.

RESULTS

In hospital patients characteristics

Patient demographics are presented in Table 1. There were 23 males (85.2%) and 4 females (14.8%) with a mean age 36 ± 19 years (range 15-87 years). All our patients complained of acute chest pain which was the main symptom to sight the medical emergency. Of those, 25 patients (92.6%) were stable with a mean systolic blood pressure of 121 mmHg and diastolic blood pressure of 70 mmHg. The two others presented with a low systolic blood pressure less than 90 mm Hg of systolic pressure without other signs of shock or heart failure.

The presence of ST segment elevation on the initial ECG was found in 17 patients (62.9%). All the patients had an elevated ultra-sensitive cardiac troponin with a mean of 11.5 ± 10.9 µg/l. CRP tested in 25 patients (92.6%) was elevated in all the patients with a mean of 73.9 ± 25.4 mg/l.

Table 1 – Patients Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>(n=27)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age, years</td>
<td>36 ± 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 45 yrs of age, %</td>
<td>77.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male gender, %</td>
<td>85.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean in-hospital stay, nights</td>
<td>6.1 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean SBP*, mmHg</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean DBP†, mmHg</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST segment elevation, %</td>
<td>62.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevated Troponin, mcg/L</td>
<td>11.5 ± 10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ejection fraction (TTE*), %</td>
<td>55.5 ± 7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average ejection fraction (CMR*), %</td>
<td>53.96 ± 9.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Systolic blood pressure. † Diastolic blood pressure.
* Trans-thoracic echocardiography. † Cardiovascular magnetic resonance.
Echocardiography was performed at baseline in all patients. It showed abnormalities of left ventricle regional function with hypokinesia or akinesia of wall motion in 16 patients (59.2%). The mean ejection fraction of all patients was estimated at $55.5 \pm 7.3 \%$, range 35-65%. There was pericardial effusion in 8 patients (29.6%) that seemed to be independent of the presence of abnormalities of ejection fraction or regional motion of the left ventricle. CMR was performed for all patients confirming the diagnosis of the acute myocarditis with a mean ejection fraction of left ventricle estimated at $53.96 \pm 9.9 \%$, range 30-71%. Table 2 summarizes CMR results.

Table 2 – Summary of the CMR results during the hospitalization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(n=27)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean EF*, %</td>
<td>53.96 ± 9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peric. Eff. Ass.”**, %</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional wall affected of LV**”, %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAT</td>
<td>48.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INF LAT</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INF</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAT APIC</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANT APIC</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANT LAT</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INF LAT SEPT</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APIC</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Ejection fraction, ** Pericardial effusion associated.
** Regional wall affected of the left ventricle; LAT: lateral,
INF LAT: infero-lateral, INF: inferior, LAT APIC: latero-apical,
ANT APIC: antero-apical, ANT LAT: anterolateral,
INF LAT SEPT: infero-latero-septal, APIC: apical.

The majority of patients (22 patients = 81.4%) showed that lateral wall of left ventricle is affected by the myocarditis in the CMR as shown in Table 2. Figures 1, 2 and 3 show the abnormalities in the left ventricle detected by CMR.
Figure 1 – Short axis view shows LGE in sub-epicardium of the lateral wall of left ventricle indicated by the white arrow.

Figure 2 – 4 chamber view shows LGE in sub-epicardium of the lateral wall of left ventricle indicated by the black arrow.

Figure 3 – Short axe view shows LGE in the sub-epicardium of the lateral wall of left ventricle (indicated by arrow n.1) associated with epicardial effusion (indicated by the arrow n.2).
Coronary angiogram was performed in 14 patients (51.8%). The results showed no significant affect of the coronary system in all the patients and left ventricle angiogram was performed in 9 patients with a mean angiographic ejection fraction at 55 ± 8 %.

All but one patient (26(96.3 %)) were treated by betablockers and angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors or angiotensin II receptor blockers. One young patient (20 years old) did not receive medical therapy because he had a very mild form of myocarditis.

We compared the findings of CMR and ECG for all patients to see if there was a matching between the regional wall of left ventricle affected by myocarditis diagnosed in CMR and with those in ECG represent ST segment elevation, we found that there is matching in 11 patients out of 17 patients (64.7%). Comparison of regional wall motion dysfunction between CMR and echocardiography showed that there was a matching in 6 patients out of 16 (37.5 %).

In 11 patients (40.7%), echocardiography showed a normal regional wall motion of the left ventricle and abnormalities in 16 patients (29.3%).

By comparison between the results of the abnormal echocardiography and the CRM, we found that there was a match in 6 patients over 16 (37.5%).

In hospital events

Non - sustained ventricular tachycardia was observed in 4 patients (14.8 %), including the two patients who presented hypotension at admission without signs of shock. Cardiac arrhythmia had a good response to the beta blockers.

One patient (3.7 %) presented a normal sinus rhythm in alternative with atrial fibrillation and flutter with few ventricular extrasystoles.

One patient (3.7 %) presented an atrial fibrillation and converted to normal sinus rhythm after receiving amiodarone.

Follow - up

We achieved to collect follow - up data for 23 patients (85.2%) with a mean duration of 360 ± 216 days.

One patient died 1 year after the hospital discharge from pulmonary embolism and had recent history of lung cancer.

At one - year follow-up, there was improvement of the symptoms by disappearing of the chest pain in all patients.

First control echocardiography was requested for 19 patients (70.4%) with a mean delay of 56 ± 5 days. The echocardiography of control showed improvement in
the ejection fraction with a mean of 61.8 ± 6.9 % vs. a mean ejection fraction 55 ± 1.4% of the echocardiography during the hospitalization for the same group of patients.

Second control echocardiography was requested for 3 patients (15.8% of whom had first control echocardiography = 11.1% of all patients) with a mean delay of 147 days, showed no improvement regarding the ejection fraction in the first control echocardiography.

First control CMR was performed for 12 patients (44.4 %) with a mean delay of 98 ± 3.5 days, showed a mean ejection fraction 59 ± 5.7 % vs. a mean ejection fraction of 52 ± 11% during the hospitalization for the same group of patients.

Second control CMR was performed for 3 patients out of 12 (25% of whom had the first control CMR) with a mean delay of 163 days, showed a mean ejection fraction of 65 ± 2 %.

The mean duration of the treatment by the betablockers and angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors for 12 patients is 68 ± 21 days.

**Complications at follow-up**

None of the patients presented complications post – discharge except in one patient who was treated by oral anticoagulant (fluindione, Previscan®) for the occurrence of atrial fibrillation during the hospitalization. He had aggravation of pericardial effusion complicated by cardiac tamponade, and he was readmitted 3 days after discharge in a cardiogenic shock with an echocardiographic ejection fraction of 30% and needed a subxyphoid surgical pericardiostomy for fluid drainage.

**DISCUSSION**

The objective of our study was to report our experience with acute myocarditis at the CMR era. We observed a large predominance of males with 23 patients (85.2%) and of young age with 21 patients (77.7%) were less than 45 years old.

The fulminant form which needs urgent mechanical circulatory assistance was excluded because CMR was not performed due to an urgent need for cardiac assistance or monitoring. Fortunately, most cases of myocarditis are mild as compared with the literature.¹²,¹³,¹⁴ We noticed the immediate good prognosis without serious complications in the majority of patients except in one who had the tamponade and was receiving oral anticoagulation for atrial fibrillation.

Aside from a low specificity, the presence of either ST segment elevation or T wave inversion as the most sensitive ECG criterion is present in less than 50% of patients, even during the first weeks of the disease.¹⁵ Our study showed 63% of patients
had ST segment elevations and a 64.7% match with the CMR results for the regional wall affected by myocarditis.

The diagnostic value of echocardiography is limited by the fact that many patients with less severe myocarditis have a normal echocardiography and the highly variable echocardiography findings lack specificity as previously reported.\(^\text{(10)}\)

Our study showed a mean ejection fraction of 55.5 ± 7.3 %. Echocardiography was considered normal in 10 patients (37 %). Even in those with abnormal left ventricle regional function of wall motion in echocardiography (16 patients), 10 patients showed no matching with the findings of CRM.

Numerous studies have investigated the diagnostic utility of non-contrast and contrast-enhanced CMR in patients with myocarditis.\(^\text{(16,17,18)}\) Results have consistently shown the clinical feasibility and high diagnostic accuracy with different single technique or combined CMR protocols.

Contrast – enhancement CMR (CE-CMR) enables visualization of myocardial damage in patients with myocarditis after intravenous injection of gadolinium. Due to different wash – in and wash – out kinetics, areas with myocardial changes, such as scarring, fibrosis and edema, retain gadolinium for prolonged periods. This provides an opportunity to visualize areas of myocarditis defined by histopathology, with a report sensitivity of 100% and specificity of 90%.\(^\text{(19)}\) Presence of late gadolinium enhancement is reported in 44 to 95 % of patients with myocarditis.\(^\text{(19,20)}\)

According to contrast enhancement (CE) patterns, this technique is also capable of ruling out an ischemic cause in the differential diagnosis of myocarditis because CE patterns in the setting of ischemic infarction always include the subendocardial layer of the myocardium.\(^\text{(21)}\) Enhancement patterns in myocarditis generally exclude the subendocardium with the exception of eosinophilic myocarditis frequently involving the endomyocardium\(^\text{(22,23)}\) (Figures 1, 2 and 3).

Our study showed the presence of late gadolinium enhancement in 25 patients (92.6%) with exclusion the subendocardium, absent in one patient ( 3.7 % ) and doubt of presence in sub-epicardium in another one patient ( 3.7 % ).

We noticed that in 10 patients (37.1%) the diagnosis of myocarditis was highly suspected based on clinical presentation, biology, ECG, echocardiography and coronary angiography before the confirmation by CMR while in 17 patients (62.9%) those different modalities did not allow to suspect the diagnosis before CMR. Therefore, the CMR appears as an essential modality to achieve the diagnosis of myocarditis.

The indications for the coronary angiogram during the hospitalization were the presence of cardiovascular risk factors in 10 patients (71.4% out of 14 the patients had coronary angiogram) and/or the suspicion of myocardial infarction in 10 patients
The mean age of the patients undergoing coronary angiogram was 42 ± 17 years (20 – 78 years) vs 28 ± 19 years (15 – 87 years) for those who did not.

For the one patient aged 51 who was readmitted 3 days after discharge for a cardiac tamponade, the diagnostic coronary angiogram was performed later, after stabilization of his status due to presence of cardiovascular risk factors.

The coronary angiogram was not performed for the remaining 12 patients (44.4%) because of the young age in 10 patients (83.3% out of 12 patients) with a mean age 28 ± 19 years (15 – 87 years), the absence of ischemic signs on ECG in 2 patients (16.6%) and the presence of signs of acute myocarditis without endocardial damage on CMR in one old 87 years patient who had lung cancer (8.3%).

STUDY LIMITATIONS

Our study did not include the fulminating or mild undiagnosed cases of myocarditis. We did not achieve to collect follow-up data for all patients. Four (14.8%) were lost to follow-up) because of either loss of correct personal data in their files or because they moved to another unknown address.

CONCLUSION

This retrospectively study showed that myocarditis is a cardiovascular disease that affects in majority young and male patients. Except in fulminant forms, CMR appears as the main modality of diagnosis for acute myocarditis. Coronary angiogram is mandatory in case of cardiovascular risk factors and/or myocardial infarction-like presentation. Evolution shows that the majority of cases recover from the acute state when the diagnosis is established as fast as possible. Optimal medical therapy is still to be defined. We used beta blockers and angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors or angiotensine receptor blockers in all except one patient. Patients can be considered as cured in the absence of chest pain and in case of normalization of echocardiography and/or CMR at follow-up.
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